Leicester.
Sept. 13. 1867.

Dear Wendell,

I had a most excellent note
for your father about a week since —
as I mentioned in a note to Oliver
Johnson, & asked him to tell you
if he happened to think of it when he
saw you. In that note, he gave
me the particulars of A. M. Powell's
application for his Brooklyn address.
If it seems to you that his statement
should be seen, at this time, by any
person in particular, I shall be
most happy to send it. Would you like
to see it? I believe I will send
it to you, at any rate. It is only
giving you the pleasure of seeing your
father's hand, & the slight trouble
of re-mailing to me, which please do
as soon as you have done with
letter. V He fixes ~~there~~ there Oct. 26th for sailing.
(with liberty to show it to any one please.)

You will have noticed that W. Phillips refuses to let me be heard in "Standard", tho' the S. had been ~~refused to~~ made the medium of the charge against me & then of "misdirecting" money "properly belonging to the Am. A. S. Soc'y." A fine position for the Standard, indeed! But I was not surprised at W. P.'s refusal — I was morally sure of it, from the moment A. M. P. wrote me he had referred the article to W. P. — I have since written to Powell, ~~to~~ to shew him (as I thought I could) that he could not throw off all the responsibility of the "Standard's" injustice & wrong to me upon W. P.'s shoulders. As Editor of the S., he is personally interested to see to it, & to require, that fair play be allowed in its columns, — & above all, that when a person in a position

of trust (as I was in the F. J. case) is accused of unfaithfulness therein, that at least he has an opp. to defend himself. I put this strongly to Powell, but have not yet had a reply from him. He was to be away the most of this week, I believe. I presume Powell will still refuse to do me justice, on the narrow plea that he himself has not touched the subject yet & has resolved not to. But he has touched it, in admitting C. K. W.'s article, wh. was what first stirred up R. Rivers "to fever heat", and was the real beginning of the matter, which W. Phillips, consciously nothing to the followed up. Mr. Saint-Sewall told me the subject "never ought to have been publicly mentioned beyond the Court Room," - that "the money had gone for an excellent object, &c." He himself

had been in favor of the division, "to keep the peace". For myself I cannot see that that consideration could rightfully come in to determine the use of Francis Jackson's money, especially when we had such a fact as his strong opposition to spending money for the "Standard", on the other hand.

The letter I sent the "Standard" was seen by S. J. May, who warmly commended it, as to its spirit, &c, & said it was just what was needed, & he was glad it was going to be published. Will you tell me what you think of my getting it published elsewhere? Is it worth while to carry the matter into other columns?

If W. P. & his associates did not claim the whole at the Trustees' meeting (from which I was shut out), it certainly was, as you say, because they knew it w^d prejudice their chance of getting any of it. At the Master in Chancery's hearing they showed their hand, i.e. W. P., C. K. W., and R. P. Hallowell (who gave us the advantage of his presence & frequent suggestions) & claimed the whole for the Am. A. S. Society.

I am truly yrs. Wm. Lloyd Garrison

Wm. writes kindest regards to all yours.